

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the  
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

## PCT

WRITTEN OPINION  
(PCT Rule 66)

Best Available Copy

<p>To:</p> <p>APPELT, Christian, W. Boehmert &amp; Boehmert Hollerallee 32 28209 Bremen ALLEMAGNE</p>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <b>BOEHMERT &amp; BOEHMERT</b> Bremen         </div> <p>Eing.: 16. Jan. 2006</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">           gesehen:    Sekr.:    Anw.:         </div> <p>Verfügung:</p> <p>Frist: 18.02.06</p>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <span>Date of mailing (day/month/year)</span> <span>13.01.2006</span> </div> </div>
Applicant's or agent's file reference K30064PCT		<b>REPLY DUE</b> <b>within 1 month(s)</b> from the above date of mailing
International application No. PCT/EP 03/11550	International filing date (day/month/year) 17.10.2003	Priority date (day/month/year) 17.10.2003
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC G06F3/023		
Applicant TERRAMARK MARKENCREATION GMBH et al.		

1. This written opinion is the **first** drawn up by this International Preliminary Examining Authority.
2. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:
 

I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Basis of the opinion
II	<input type="checkbox"/>	Priority
III	<input type="checkbox"/>	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
IV	<input type="checkbox"/>	Lack of unity of invention
V	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
VI	<input type="checkbox"/>	Certain documents cited
VII	<input type="checkbox"/>	Certain defects in the international application
VIII	<input type="checkbox"/>	Certain observations on the international application
3. The applicant is hereby **invited to reply** to this opinion.
 

**When?**      See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(d).

**How?**      By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9.

**Also:**      For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4.  
 For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis.  
 For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6.

**If no reply is filed,** the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.
4. The final date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 17.02.2006

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:  <div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div>             European Patent Office - Gitschiner Str. 103              D-10958 Berlin              Tel. +49 30 25901 - 0              Fax: +49 30 25901 - 840           </div> </div>	Authorized Officer <b>Durand, J</b> Formalities officer (incl. extension of time limits) <b>Geier, A</b> Telephone No. +49 30 25901-706
--	---



WRITTEN OPINION

International application No. PCT/EP 03/11550

I. Basis of the opinion

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (*Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally filed"*):

Description, Pages

1-9 as originally filed

Claims, Numbers

1-13 as originally filed

Drawings, Sheets

1 as originally filed

2. With regard to the **language**, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:

- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).  
☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).  
☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

- ☐ contained in the international application in written form.  
☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.  
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.  
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.  
☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.  
☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- ☐ the description, pages:  
☐ the claims, Nos.:  
☐ the drawings, sheets:

5. ☐ This opinion has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

**V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement****1. Statement**

Novelty (N)	Claims	1,11-13
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	2-10
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	

**2. Citations and explanations****see separate sheet****Best Available Copy**